

08/28/98
JCS56 U.S. PRO

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/05 (12/97)
Approved for use through 09/30/00. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL <small>(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))</small>	Attorney Docket No.		Total Pages	
	First Named Inventor or Application Identifier			
	Gill			
	Express Mail Label No.	EL136670795US		

JCS56 U.S. PRO
09/143279
08/28/98

APPLICATION ELEMENTS <small>See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.</small>	ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box Patent Application Washington, DC 20231
--	--

<p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <i>(Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)</i></p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Specification [Total Pages 18] <i>(preferred arrangement set forth below)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Descriptive title of the Invention- Cross References to Related Applications- Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D- Reference to Microfiche Appendix- Background of the Invention- Brief Summary of the Invention- Brief Description of the Drawings <i>(if filed)</i>- Detailed Description- Claim(s)- Abstract of the Disclosure <p>3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) (35 USC 113) [Total Sheets 4]</p> <p>4. Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 1]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. <input type="checkbox"/> Newly executed (original or copy)b. <input type="checkbox"/> Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)) <i>(for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)</i> <i>[Note Box 5 below]</i>i. <input type="checkbox"/> DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b). <p>5. <input type="checkbox"/> Incorporation By Reference <i>(useable if Box 4b is checked)</i> The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby incorporated by reference therein.</p>	<p>6. <input type="checkbox"/> Microfiche Computer Program <i>(Appendix)</i></p> <p>7. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission <i>(if applicable, all necessary)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. <input type="checkbox"/> Computer Readable Copyb. <input type="checkbox"/> Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)c. <input type="checkbox"/> Statement verifying identity of above copies
---	---

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS	
8. <input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))	
9. <input type="checkbox"/> 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney <i>(when there is an assignee)</i>	
10. <input type="checkbox"/> English Translation Document <i>(if applicable)</i>	
11. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of IDS Citations	
12. <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Amendment	
13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) <i>(Should be specifically itemized)</i>	
14. <input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement filed in prior application, Status still proper and desired	
15. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <i>(if foreign priority is claimed)</i>	
16. <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	

17. If a **CONTINUING APPLICATION**, check appropriate box and supply the requisite information:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: _____ / _____

18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS					
<input type="checkbox"/> Customer Number or Bar Code Label <div style="border: 1px dashed black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;">(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)</div> or <input type="checkbox"/> Correspondence address below					
NAME	Glenn L. Webb				
ADDRESS	1120 Lincoln St., Suite 1500				
CITY	Denver	STATE	CO	ZIP CODE	80203
COUNTRY		TELEPHONE	(303) 8398700	FAX	(303) 839-8406

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

<h1 style="margin: 0;">FEE TRANSMITTAL</h1> <p style="margin: 0; font-size: small;">Patent fees are subject to annual revision on October 1. These are the fees effective October 1, 1997. Small Entity payments <u>must</u> be supported by a small entity statement, otherwise large entity fees must be paid. See Forms PTO/SB/09-12.</p>		Complete if Known													
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) <u>872</u>		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>Application Number</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Filing Date</td><td>Herewith</td></tr> <tr><td>First Named Inventor</td><td>Gill</td></tr> <tr><td>Examiner Name</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Group / Art Unit</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Attorney Docket No.</td><td></td></tr> </table>		Application Number		Filing Date	Herewith	First Named Inventor	Gill	Examiner Name		Group / Art Unit		Attorney Docket No.	
Application Number															
Filing Date	Herewith														
First Named Inventor	Gill														
Examiner Name															
Group / Art Unit															
Attorney Docket No.															

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)																																																																																																																																																																																							
<p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:</p> <p>Deposit Account Number <u>18-0875</u></p> <p>Deposit Account Name <u>Reilly, Purcell & Lewis, P.C.</u></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 <input type="checkbox"/> Charge the Issue Fee Set in 37 CFR 1.18 at the Mailing of the Notice of Allowance</p> <p>2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Payment Enclosed: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check <input type="checkbox"/> Money Order <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>3. ADDITIONAL FEES</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Large Entity Small Entity</th> <th rowspan="2">Fee Description</th> <th rowspan="2">Fee Paid</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Fee Code</th> <th>Fee (\$)</th> <th>Fee Code</th> <th>Fee (\$)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>105</td><td>130</td><td>205</td><td>65</td><td>Surcharge - late filing fee or oath</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>127</td><td>50</td><td>227</td><td>25</td><td>Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>139</td><td>130</td><td>139</td><td>130</td><td>Non-English specification</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>147</td><td>2,520</td><td>147</td><td>2,520</td><td>For filing a request for reexamination</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>112</td><td>920*</td><td>112</td><td>920*</td><td>Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>113</td><td>1,840*</td><td>113</td><td>1,840*</td><td>Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>115</td><td>110</td><td>215</td><td>55</td><td>Extension for reply within first month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>116</td><td>400</td><td>216</td><td>200</td><td>Extension for reply within second month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>117</td><td>950</td><td>217</td><td>475</td><td>Extension for reply within third month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>1,510</td><td>218</td><td>755</td><td>Extension for reply within fourth month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>128</td><td>2,060</td><td>228</td><td>1,030</td><td>Extension for reply within fifth month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>119</td><td>310</td><td>219</td><td>155</td><td>Notice of Appeal</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>120</td><td>310</td><td>220</td><td>155</td><td>Filing a brief in support of an appeal</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>121</td><td>270</td><td>221</td><td>135</td><td>Request for oral hearing</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>138</td><td>1,510</td><td>138</td><td>1,510</td><td>Petition to institute a public use proceeding</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>140</td><td>110</td><td>240</td><td>55</td><td>Petition to revive - unavoidable</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>141</td><td>1,320</td><td>241</td><td>660</td><td>Petition to revive - unintentional</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>142</td><td>1,320</td><td>242</td><td>660</td><td>Utility issue fee (or reissue)</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>143</td><td>450</td><td>243</td><td>225</td><td>Design issue fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>144</td><td>670</td><td>244</td><td>335</td><td>Plant issue fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>122</td><td>130</td><td>122</td><td>130</td><td>Petitions to the Commissioner</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>123</td><td>50</td><td>123</td><td>50</td><td>Petitions related to provisional applications</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>126</td><td>240</td><td>126</td><td>240</td><td>Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>581</td><td>40</td><td>581</td><td>40</td><td>Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>146</td><td>790</td><td>246</td><td>395</td><td>Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>149</td><td>790</td><td>249</td><td>395</td><td>For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;"> SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) <u>0</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Large Entity Small Entity				Fee Description	Fee Paid	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet		139	130	139	130	Non-English specification		147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination		112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month		116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month		117	950	217	475	Extension for reply within third month		118	1,510	218	755	Extension for reply within fourth month		128	2,060	228	1,030	Extension for reply within fifth month		119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal		120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing		138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding		140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable		141	1,320	241	660	Petition to revive - unintentional		142	1,320	242	660	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		143	450	243	225	Design issue fee		144	670	244	335	Plant issue fee		122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner		123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications		126	240	126	240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)		146	790	246	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))		149	790	249	395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))		Other fee (specify) _____						Other fee (specify) _____						SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) <u>0</u>				
Large Entity Small Entity				Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																																																																																			
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)																																																																																																																																																																																					
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath																																																																																																																																																																																				
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet																																																																																																																																																																																				
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification																																																																																																																																																																																				
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination																																																																																																																																																																																				
112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action																																																																																																																																																																																				
113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action																																																																																																																																																																																				
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month																																																																																																																																																																																				
116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month																																																																																																																																																																																				
117	950	217	475	Extension for reply within third month																																																																																																																																																																																				
118	1,510	218	755	Extension for reply within fourth month																																																																																																																																																																																				
128	2,060	228	1,030	Extension for reply within fifth month																																																																																																																																																																																				
119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal																																																																																																																																																																																				
120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal																																																																																																																																																																																				
121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing																																																																																																																																																																																				
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding																																																																																																																																																																																				
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable																																																																																																																																																																																				
141	1,320	241	660	Petition to revive - unintentional																																																																																																																																																																																				
142	1,320	242	660	Utility issue fee (or reissue)																																																																																																																																																																																				
143	450	243	225	Design issue fee																																																																																																																																																																																				
144	670	244	335	Plant issue fee																																																																																																																																																																																				
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner																																																																																																																																																																																				
123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications																																																																																																																																																																																				
126	240	126	240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt																																																																																																																																																																																				
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)																																																																																																																																																																																				
146	790	246	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))																																																																																																																																																																																				
149	790	249	395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))																																																																																																																																																																																				
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																																																																																								
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																																																																																								
SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																								
<p>1. BASIC FILING FEE</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Large Entity Small Entity</th> <th rowspan="2">Fee Description</th> <th rowspan="2">Fee Paid</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Fee Code</th> <th>Fee (\$)</th> <th>Fee Code</th> <th>Fee (\$)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>101</td><td>790</td><td>201</td><td>395</td><td>Utility filing fee</td><td><u>790</u></td></tr> <tr><td>106</td><td>330</td><td>206</td><td>165</td><td>Design filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>107</td><td>540</td><td>207</td><td>270</td><td>Plant filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>108</td><td>790</td><td>208</td><td>395</td><td>Reissue filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>114</td><td>150</td><td>214</td><td>75</td><td>Provisional filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;"> SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) <u>790</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Total Claims</th> <th colspan="2">Extra Claims</th> <th colspan="2">Fee from below</th> <th colspan="2">Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>18</td> <td>-20**</td> <td>0</td> <td>X</td> <td>0</td> <td>=</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>-3**</td> <td>1</td> <td>X</td> <td>82</td> <td>=</td> <td>82</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Multiple Dependent</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><small>**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below</small></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Large Entity Small Entity</th> <th rowspan="2">Fee Description</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Fee Code</th> <th>Fee (\$)</th> <th>Fee Code</th> <th>Fee (\$)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>103</td><td>22</td><td>203</td><td>11</td><td>Claims in excess of 20</td></tr> <tr><td>102</td><td>82</td><td>202</td><td>41</td><td>Independent claims in excess of 3</td></tr> <tr><td>104</td><td>270</td><td>204</td><td>135</td><td>Multiple dependent claim, if not paid</td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>82</td><td>209</td><td>41</td><td>** Reissue independent claims over original patent</td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>22</td><td>210</td><td>11</td><td>** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;"> SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) <u>82</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Large Entity Small Entity				Fee Description	Fee Paid	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	101	790	201	395	Utility filing fee	<u>790</u>	106	330	206	165	Design filing fee		107	540	207	270	Plant filing fee		108	790	208	395	Reissue filing fee		114	150	214	75	Provisional filing fee		SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) <u>790</u>					Total Claims		Extra Claims		Fee from below		Fee Paid		18	-20**	0	X	0	=	0		4	-3**	1	X	82	=	82		Multiple Dependent								Large Entity Small Entity				Fee Description	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	103	22	203	11	Claims in excess of 20	102	82	202	41	Independent claims in excess of 3	104	270	204	135	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	109	82	209	41	** Reissue independent claims over original patent	110	22	210	11	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) <u>82</u>					<p>4. REDUCTION BY BASIC FILING FEE PAID</p> <p>SUBTOTAL (4) (\$) <u>0</u></p>																																																																			
Large Entity Small Entity				Fee Description			Fee Paid																																																																																																																																																																																	
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)																																																																																																																																																																																					
101	790	201	395	Utility filing fee	<u>790</u>																																																																																																																																																																																			
106	330	206	165	Design filing fee																																																																																																																																																																																				
107	540	207	270	Plant filing fee																																																																																																																																																																																				
108	790	208	395	Reissue filing fee																																																																																																																																																																																				
114	150	214	75	Provisional filing fee																																																																																																																																																																																				
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) <u>790</u>																																																																																																																																																																																								
Total Claims		Extra Claims		Fee from below		Fee Paid																																																																																																																																																																																		
18	-20**	0	X	0	=	0																																																																																																																																																																																		
4	-3**	1	X	82	=	82																																																																																																																																																																																		
Multiple Dependent																																																																																																																																																																																								
Large Entity Small Entity				Fee Description																																																																																																																																																																																				
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)																																																																																																																																																																																					
103	22	203	11	Claims in excess of 20																																																																																																																																																																																				
102	82	202	41	Independent claims in excess of 3																																																																																																																																																																																				
104	270	204	135	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid																																																																																																																																																																																				
109	82	209	41	** Reissue independent claims over original patent																																																																																																																																																																																				
110	22	210	11	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent																																																																																																																																																																																				
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) <u>82</u>																																																																																																																																																																																								

SUBMITTED BY				Complete (if applicable)	
Typed or Printed Name <u>Glenn L. Webb</u>		Reg. Number <u>32,668</u>		Deposit Account <u></u>	
Signature <u>Glenn L. Webb</u>		Date <u>8/28/98</u>		User ID <u></u>	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PROCESS AND SYSTEM FOR DEFINING AND VISUALLY DEPICTING COLORS FROM THE COMPONENTS OF ARBITRARY COLOR MODELS

5 **Field of the Invention:** This invention relates to electronic publishing and particularly to defining and depicting colors for use in electronic publishing.

Background of the Invention

10 Electronic publishing has become the standard for developing documents for publication. Publication in this day and age includes not only printed publication but multi-media publications such as CD-ROM or World Wide Web documents as well. Thus, electronic publishing includes content preparation, such as text articles, images and even audio or video in multi-media documents, pre-press layout, proofing and printing.

15 Color is an important feature in designing documents for publication, whether for print or electronic publication. There are a number of concerns with the integration of color into electronic publishing, not the least of which is the accurate depiction of color on a computer monitor during the design and layout of the document relative to the final printed version. This concern is dependent upon a number of factors, including the spectral qualities relating to how the colors are displayed on a computer monitor screen as compared to the printed colors.

20 Another concern with color in electronic publishing is the limitations on the definition of colors. Presently, colors must be defined in terms of the components of a single traditional color model. Colors may be converted between these traditional color models, but may not be defined from more than one color model at a time.

25 A number of traditional color models are currently used in electronic publishing, depending on the document to be created and the printing process to be used. These color models normally fall into two categories, "spot" colors and "process" colors. Spot colors require a separate plate, that is, an image of a page, for each spot color used in the document. Spot colors are typically used for documents

that only use one to three colors or for documents using special colors such as fluorescent colors, metallic colors or proprietary colors, such as a company color. Thus, spot colors are normally used for simple documents or for special colors.

Process colors are colors which are created by using percentages of primary color components, such as tints of cyan, magenta, yellow, and black (CMYK) that are blended together to create other colors. Process colors provide many more colors than spot colors for printing purposes and are normally used with documents containing color photography or other items using more than three colors. A document using process colors is separated into separate plates, each containing one of the component colors, such as four separate plates containing differing tints of four primary colors (CMYK). The process of splitting a composite document into its constituent plates and generating an image for each plate is called "separation".

One of the problems occurring with existing electronic publishing systems occurs when a document is created using process colors, but also requiring a spot color as well. For instance, a special color such as a fluorescent color or a metallic color may be used which can not be created using the process colors. Many documents may also require proprietary colors, such as in a company logo. For instance, a magazine having photography and a company logo may require both color models. Also, many high end publications may use a "varnish" or other special color layer to be applied over an image to create an added effect. A "varnish" may be a translucent color which tints an overall image or document to add a surface effect on the printed image. The addition of spot colors to a document using process colors is not a problem for the actual printing process. An additional plate is created for that particular spot color during the separation.

However, the adding of a spot color onto a document process color is a problem during the electronic design process. Presently, when such a spot color is applied during the design or layout phase of the publishing process, it is difficult to accurately depict on screen. Typically, during the design phase, the process document colors are converted to a color model which can be depicted on a computer monitor screen, such as RGB or LAB. However, the existing algorithms are unable to convert process colors which have been combined with spot colors or

with the combination of arbitrary inks. If an on-screen image using process colors is overlaid by the spot color, then that image is blocked from view. Typically, the designer will create two duplicate boxes, one containing the image and the other containing the spot color to be applied. The final image to be printed is not able to be accurately displayed prior to the actual printing of the image. This is of concern since neither the designer nor others later working with the document are sure of the final image.

Since process colors are normally used in most higher end documents, this is an important issue in the publishing industry. Thus, a problem exists in accurately depicting color documents during the electronic design of such documents.

Another problem with the prior electronic publishing systems is the definition of colors digitally. The prior electronic publishing systems utilize standard color models to define colors used in the document and the depiction of the document on a computer screen. These standard color models, such as RGB, LAB, CMYK, Hexachrome, Pantone and others, each use defined color components. For instance, a color defined in the RGB color model uses differing percentages of Red, Green and Blue, the color components of the RGB color model. A color defined in the CMYK color model only uses percentages of Cyan, Magenta, Yellow and/or Black. A color defined in the Hexachrome color model only uses percentages of Cyan, Magenta, Yellow, Black, Orange and Green. Each of the color models use only their respective components in defining a color.

These electronic publishing systems are unable to define a color using “arbitrary” color components. These color components, often referred to as “inks”, are limited for use only within their respective color model. Colors defined in terms of inks in one color model may be converted into the inks of another color model, but a color has not been able to be previously digitally defined in the inks of more than one color model at a time. Thus, a problem exists in the inability to define a color with color components or inks outside of a particular color model.

Summary of the Invention

5 The present invention solves these and other problems by providing a process and system for defining and representing combinations of colors that was not previously possible. In accordance with one preferred embodiment of the present invention, the process includes a user-definable “meta-ink” color which is made up of the components of the process colors and the spot colors and the shade values assigned to each of the color components. The document color is then replaced by
10 this meta-ink color for depiction purposes on a radiant light source, such as a computer monitor screen.

This process is implemented, in one preferred embodiment, by a model wherein the meta-ink colors are defined as a series of references between document colors (a named entity which describes a color in a document). These references
15 each specify the meta-ink color, the spot or process color and the percentage (or shade) of each meta-ink color on the spot or process color or even on an arbitrary color, as defined in greater detail in the discussion of a preferred embodiment. Any number of meta-ink colors may be attached to any number of colors.

The system of the present invention, in one preferred embodiment, takes the user-defined meta-ink color and converts the process color components and their
20 assigned shade values of this meta-ink color into RGB values. The system then shades each of the RGB components of the spot colors of this meta-ink color according to the shade values which have been assigned to each of the components in the definition of the meta-ink color. The shaded values for each of the spot color
25 components is “layered” onto the RGB values converted from the process color components of the meta-ink color in an iterative process. That is, each of the spot colors defined in the meta-ink color are respectively layered onto the preceding value of the previously defined spot color layered onto the RGB values converted from the process color components. The final value of the iterative process is computed and
30 converted into a color model suitable for the radiant light source, such as a LAB color model value. This process essentially treats the color inks as a series of

photographic filters which attenuate the spectrum of the combined colors to depict the combined colors in an accurate representation of the printed image. This layering of the spot colors is analogous to placing a series of photographic filters, one for each spot color, over the image. The spot colors are thus able to be more accurately depicted on the screen as a match for the colors of the printed image.

These and other features of the present invention are described in greater detail in the ensuing description of a preferred embodiment and in the drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a screen shot of a Edit menu for colors in a design or layout program;

Figure 2 is a screen shot of a menu for Editing Colors in a design or layout program;

Figure 3 is a screen shot of a menu for defining the color components and shade values in the program of Figure 1;

Figure 4 is a screen shot of a menu for selecting a user-defined meta-ink color for replacing a document color;

Figure 5 is a flow chart of the internal representation of the process of a preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 6 is a flow chart of the process of determining the visual display of the embodiment of Figure 5.

Description of a Preferred Embodiment of the Present Invention

The present invention provides a process and system for defining and representing combinations of colors not previously possible in a single document color. In particular, the present invention is able to define colors in terms of components of more than one color model as well as provide a more accurate depiction on a computer monitor screen or other radiant light source, of one or more spot colors applied onto a document which uses process colors. It is to be expressly understood that the exemplary description that is discussed herein is for descriptive purposes only and is not meant to limit the scope of the inventive concept. Other implementations of the inventive concept are considered to be within the scope of the appended claims.

There are numerous programs available for the electronic design and/or layout of documents. One such program is QuarkXPress™ distributed by Quark Distribution, Inc. This program enables documents to be created which may include color. A Document Color, that is, a named entity which describes a color in a document, whether as the background of a box, a frame, text, etc., can be selected based on one of various color models. These color models include process colors such as CMYK or Hexachrome and spot colors such as RGB and user-defined colors. The user-defined spot colors can include special colors such as fluorescent colors, metallic colors, varnishes and special standardized colors, such as used in official company colors.

The document, depending upon the selected printing process, is typically separated into a plurality of separate images, where each image contains only one color or tints of a color. These images are referred to as plates". Multiple plates are used to create a variety of colors in the document. For printing spot colors, each single plate contains a spot color. However for printing process colors, the process colors are separated into plates containing various percentages or tints of a primary color. For instance, CMYK process colors are separated into four plates, one each of Cyan, Magenta, Yellow and Black. These four plates are blended together to create other colors. This type of color model is termed a "subtractive" color model,

in that light is subtracted from the document by the layering of the inks or colors. As more inks or colors are added onto the image, the closer the final color comes to black. The colors are displayed by the light reflected from the printed image. Also, each spot color is contained on a separate plate.

5 During the design process, these colors must be accurately depicted on a computer monitor screen. However, colors or inks as displayed on a monitor screen are “additive” colors. Light is projected on the screen which is initially black. As colors are added, the closer the final color comes to white. The pixels of a computer monitor screen are red, green and blue, thus RGB or LAB color models are normally
10 used to depict images on the screen. During the design of a document, the process colors used are converted to spot colors for depiction on a computer screen during the design phase.

 The present invention provides a capability to additionally modify the existing color models in order to more accurately depict the document colors when
15 a spot color is added to a document created with process colors or arbitrary inks that are defined by components of more than one color model. One example of such a document would be a marketing brochure having photographs and a company logo using a proprietary color. Process colors are preferred for the photographs while a spot color may be necessary for the company logo. This may not be a problem for
20 the printed image since an additional plate can be used for the spot color during separation. However, the depiction of this document may be difficult on a computer monitor screen as discussed in the background of the invention. It is very important that the spot colors be accurately represented on the screen for the printed piece match to the on-screen depiction. This representation is merely a stand-in for the
25 color that is used at the actual print-time.

 A preferred embodiment of the present invention is illustrated in Figures 1 through 6 which provides a process and system for more accurately representing the combined colors for this depiction.

User Defined Meta-inks

Normally, a process color is made up of percentages of the primary colors of the process color model. The process color model CMYK will be used for descriptive purposes. In this instance, a particular shade of green color “New Green” formed from CMYK having the following percentages: 70% cyan, 10% magenta, 100% yellow and 0% black is used as a document color. The document designer may decide to add a spot color, such as a “varnish” spot color that is formed from RGB color model with 90% red, 100% green and 10% blue, in a light shade over the green document color for added effect, such as changing the surface appearance on the printed picture. The Varnish color can be created first. This allows the Varnish to be used in other images or other documents. The Varnish spot color is created, in one particular embodiment of the invention by defining it as an RGB spot color with the above described RGB components. This is illustrated in Figure 1.

In this preferred embodiment, a “meta-ink” is created to depict this new color on a computer monitor screen. As shown in Figures 1 - 4, the meta-ink color is defined in a series of dialog boxes. The dialog box “Edit Color” is selected from a menu as shown in Figure 2 for editing colors by selecting the “New” button. Then, “meta-ink” is selected in the menu choice of Color Models as shown in Figure 3. A name, such as Varnish Green, is given to the new color that is to be created in the Name menu. The Process Inks menu allows the selection of the color model, such as CMYK or Hexachrome. The process color onto which the varnish is to be added is created by choosing the appropriate components of the process color model and the RGB components. The appropriate shade values are applied to each of these components. In the above described document color, the document color that is being used is defined as cyan having a shade value of 70%, magenta having a shade value of 10%, yellow having a shade value of 100% and black having a shade value of 0%. (This is equivalent to the New Green document color described above.) The Varnish is then applied by selecting the previously defined RGB spot color “varnish” and applying the desired shade value, such as a 10% shade value. The new color, named “Varnish Green”, is then saved. This color can then be selected as the new

document color as shown in Figure 4. The visual display of this new document color will be a more accurate depiction of the image which will be printed.

This procedure, in one preferred embodiment, is implemented as described in the flow charts illustrated in Figure 5. The meta-ink color is defined internally using a series of references between Document Colors. Each reference is a separate entity which specifies the meta-ink color the Spot or Process Color and the percentage (shade) of the meta-ink color on the spot or process color plate. References between each meta-ink color and each spot or process color are contained within the data structure of each of the references. Thus, any number of meta-ink colors can be attached to any number of colors. This procedure can be implemented in many ways, including various programming languages.

On-Screen Representation

The task of the on-screen representation of the meta-ink colors requires the determination of an RGB coordinate set for each of the meta-ink colors as they are created. In the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the meta-ink colors are actually modeled as transparent inks. In this sense, the inks act as photographic filters. A preferred embodiment of this representation is described in the flow chart illustrated in Figure 6.

As illustrated in Figure 6, the process begins with initializing the user-defined meta-ink color as M with the definition as discussed above. This definition of M will include the process color components, the spot color components and their respective shade values. Value C, the RGB coordinate set of M is declared as having three components, CR, CG, CB (Red, Green and Blue components). M is examined to determine whether it has any process color components. If there are no process color components, then the value of C is set to the color White, that is, $CR = CG = CB = 1.0$. If there are process color components in M, then these process color components are used to build a process color P while initially ignoring any contributions of non-process color components in M. Once P has been built, then well-known algorithms are used to convert P to RGB space. This result is then placed in C in lieu of the value for White.

S is then defined as the first spot color that is defined in M. (This would be the Varnish in the previous example). If there is no value for S, that is, there is no spot color defined in M, then C is computed and converted to the color model for the display, such as LAB, and this value is cached as M for future use. If there is a value for S, then the RGB value for that spot color is determined based upon the existing definition for that spot color. This value is placed in a temporary RGB color variable SC. SC is then shaded according to the shade value “s” of S as set forth in the definition of M (10% of the Varnish as described in the earlier example). Each component of S, that is, SCR, SCG, SCB, is shaded by the equation $SC_n = 1 + (SC_n - 1)(s)$. The effect of SC is then modeled onto C by the formula $C_n = C_n SC_n$ for each of the RGB components. This is repeated for each of the spot colors found in the definition of M. The shaded value for the next spot color (SC) is then layered onto the previously determined value of C. Once the list of spot colors has been exhausted, then the final value of C is computed, converted to the appropriate color model and cached as the value of M for display purposes. Ideally, the final value of C is converted to the LAB color model, which is device independent.

The procedure takes advantage of the ability to get a good starting point RGB value if any process components are used. The remaining spot colors, if any, are treated as transparent inks with three “point” spectral values at Red, Green and Blue. No assumptions are made in regard to the wavelengths or any other spectral properties of the inks. The inks are treated as photographic filters which attenuate the existing “spectrum” made up of the current RGB value at any point in the process exactly as their RGB components.

Printing

Printing of the document is normally done one of two ways, Separation printing and Composite printing. Separation printing, as discussed above, separates the colors into plates. In the present invention, separation printing with the meta-ink concept is simple. If a meta-ink color contributes to a given plate (that is, its shade on the plate is nonzero), then the meta-ink will image with the appropriate shade

value. If it does not contribute, then the meta-ink color will “knock-out”, that is, the object which uses that color draws as white on that plate. This prevents the other objects which do contribute on that plate from overlapping. If the shade value of the meta-ink is less than 100%, then the resulting shade on each plate of the separation is modified by multiplying the internal shade value (as defined in the relationship between the child plate and the parent plate (meta-ink color) by the external shade value (the value defined by the user when defining the meta-ink color for the document color). For example, a meta-ink color that is defined internally as 20% Black and internally 100% PANTONE 200 CV when shaded 100% will result in a 20% contribution on the Black plate and a 100% contribution on the PANTONE 200 CV plate. However, if the user decides to shade the meta-ink color to 50%, that is, halfway to white, then the contribution on the Black plate will be 10% and the contribution on the PANTONE 200 CV plate will be 50%.

Composite printing, however, requires a single CMYK or RGB value. In this case, the value defined earlier for the display representation is used, such as the LAB value, for the basis of the CMYK or RGB value. There is one exception to this rule however. If the meta-ink color consists only of process components and the color space of those components matches the output space, then the process components (CMYK) are used directly. For example, if a meta-ink color consists only of 100% Cyan and 50% Yellow, the CMYK coordinates (100,50,0,0) are used. If the user subsequently adds a spot color to the definition of this meta-ink color, then the equivalent value calculated for the display representation as discussed above is converted to CMYK values using standard color conversion techniques.

Arbitrary Inks

This process also allows the digital definition of colors by use of arbitrary inks. This inventive process is not necessarily limited to the combination of a single process color and a single spot color. A user may select components or inks from more than one color model in order to digitally define a color. This can occur in a manner as described above. A user would select the “meta-ink” function on the New color menu. The various inks or color components from several color models would

5

then be displayed. The user would select the desired inks, regardless of color model and assign a shade or percentage to each of the desired inks. This new color would be processed in a manner similar to that discussed above to render a visual depiction of that new color on the computer monitor screen. The printer would print this as a process color by adding new plates for the additional inks beyond the standard four-color separation plates. Thus, a user is able to define a color without being limited to standard color models.

10

The above embodiment is provided for descriptive purposes only. Other implementations and embodiments are considered to be within the scope of the inventive concept as set forth in the claims.

Claims

What is claimed:

1. A system for digitally defining a color from more than one color model, said system comprising:

means for selecting at least one color component from a first color model;

means for selecting at least one additional color component from at least one other color model; and

means for assigning percentages to each of said selected color components.

2. A system for determining a coordinate set for visual depiction of a process color having at least one other color applied to it, said system comprising:

means for defining the process color and the at least one other color applied to it into process color components, spot color components and percentage values;

means for converting said process color components and their percentage values into a coordinate set values for visual depiction;

means for determining said coordinate set values of said spot color components;

means for applying percentages to said coordinate set of values of said spot color components according to said percentage values for said spot color components;

means for determining a value for said percentages of said spot color components layered onto said coordinate set of values converted from said process color components; and

means for converting said value into said coordinate set.

3. The system of claim 2 wherein said means for converting said process color components into said coordinate set values includes:

means for setting said coordinate set values for said process color components to said coordinate set values for the color white if there are no process color components.

4. The system of claim 2 wherein said means for determining a value further includes:

means for determining said value by an iterative process for each of the spot colors sequentially layered on the previously determined said value until said value is finally determined.

5. The system of claim 2 wherein said means for assigning said percentages of said spot color components according to said shade value includes:

means for applying percentages to each of the components of the coordinate set values according to said percentage value for those components.

6. The system of claim 5 wherein said means for determining a value includes:

means for determining a value for each of the coordinate set components for each of said percentage values for each of said coordinate set components layered onto each of the components of said coordinate set values converted from said process color components.

7. A system for visually depicting a document having at least one spot color applied onto a document process color on a computer monitor screen, said system comprising:

means for defining the document process color;

means for defining each of at least one spot color to be applied onto the document process color;

means for applying shade values to each of said document process color and to each of said at least one spot color;

means for defining a new color based on the shade values applied for each of said document process color and for each of said at least one spot color; and

means for applying said defined new color to a document depicted visually on a computer monitor screen.

8. The system of claim 7 wherein said system further includes:
said means for defining the document process color includes:
means for defining the process color components of the document process
color; and

5 said means for applying shade values to each of said document process color
and to each of said at least one spot color includes:

means for applying a shade value to each of the process color components
of the document process color.

9. The system of claim 7 wherein said system further includes:
said means for defining each of at least one spot color to be applied onto the
document process color includes:

5 means for defining the spot color model components of each of the at least
one spot color to be applied onto the document process color; and

said means for applying shade values to each of said document process color
and to each of said at least one spot color further includes:

means for applying a shade value to each of the components of each of the
at least one spot color to be applied onto the document process color.

10. The system of claim 7 wherein said system further includes:
said means for defining the document process color includes:
means for defining the process color components of the document process
color;

5 said means for defining each of at least one spot color to be applied onto the
document process color includes:

means for defining the spot color model components of each of the at least
one spot color to be applied onto the document process color; and

10 said means for applying shade values to each of said document process color
and to each of said at least one spot color further includes:

means for applying a shade value to each of the process color components of the document process color and to each of the spot color components of each of the at least one spot color to be applied onto the document process color.

11. The system of claim 7 wherein said means for defining a new color includes:

means for layering on each of said at least one spot color onto said document process color sequentially in an iterative process.

12. The system of claim 7 wherein said means for applying said defined new color to a document depicted visually on a computer monitor screen includes:

means for converting the defined new color obtained from said means for defining a new color into a spot color model for display onto a computer monitor screen.

13. A process for digitally depicting a document having a combination of process colors and spot colors on a computer monitor screen, said process comprising the steps of:

defining the process colors and the spot colors;

assigning shade values to each of said process colors and to each of said spot colors;

defining a color based on the combination of said assigned shade values for each of said process colors and for each of said spot colors; and

applying said defined color to the document visually depicted on the computer monitor screen.

14. The process of claim 13 wherein said step of defining process colors and the spot colors further includes:

converting said process color into an RGB value.

15. The process of claim 13 wherein said step of defining the process colors and the spot colors further includes:

determining the RGB value for the spot color.

16. The process of claim 13 wherein said step of defining a color based on the combination of said assigned shade values for each of said process colors and for each of said spot colors further includes:

determining a value for said defined color based on each of said spot colors shaded by said assigned shade value layered onto each of said process colors.

17. The process of claim 13 wherein said step of defining the process colors and the spot colors includes:

defining the process colors by the components of the process color model;
and

defining the spot colors by the components of the spot color model.

18. The process of claim 17 wherein said step of defining a color includes:

assigning shade values to each of said components of the process colors; and
assigning shade values to each of said components of the spot colors.

ABSTRACT OF THE INVENTION

A process and system for depicting a combination of process colors and spot colors on a radiant light source such as a computer monitor screen. The invention provides a user-definable "meta-ink" color which is made up of the components of the process colors and the spot colors. Shade values are assigned to each of these components to create the meta-ink color. This meta-ink color then replaces the document color for visual depiction on the radiant light source. This process and system provides a more accurate depiction of the representation of the printed image.





Figure 1

Figure 2






Figure 3




Figure 4





Figure 5

Figure 6



COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As the below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

that I believe that I am a joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled Process and System for Defining and Visually Depicting Colors from the Components of Arbitrary Color Models described and claimed in the attached specification,

that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the attached specification, including the claims as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above, and

that I acknowledge my duty to disclose information of which I am aware which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a) - (d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed: NONE.

I hereby claim benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional application listed below: NONE.

I hereby claim benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT international application designating the United States of America, listed below and insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the United States Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 3 C.F.R., Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application: NONE.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: John E. Reilly, Robert E. Purcell, Jerry T. Kearns and Glenn L. Webb, Patent Attorney Registration Nos. 18,476, 28,532, 32,648 and 32,668, respectively. Address all correspondence to Glenn L. Webb, 1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1500, Denver, Colorado 80203, telephone (303) 839-8700, facsimile: (303) 839-8406.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Name of First Inventor: Timothy E. Gill

Signature of First Inventor: _____

Residence: Denver, Colorado United States Citizenship: US

Post Office Address: _____

Name of Second Inventor: Matthew Phillips

Signature of Second Inventor: _____

Residence: Aurora, Colorado, United States Citizenship: US

Post Office Address: 12324 E. Bates Circle, Aurora, Colorado 80014

Name of Third Inventor: _____

Signature of Third Inventor: _____

Residence: _____ Citizenship: _____

Post Office Address: _____